Artificial Intelligence Impact on Elements of National Power: Implications on Pakistan's National Security

Zohaib Altaf,[1] & Nimra Javed[2]

Abstract:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has assumed a pivotal role as a determinant of national power, with a specific focus on its ramifications on military prowess, demographic dynamics, and economic affluence. This investigation adopts the lens of the Social Construction of AI theory, which accentuates the sociocultural shaping of AI, employing a qualitative research methodology to comprehensively grasp the multifaceted impacts of AI on these three dimensions of national power. The study uses both the primary and secondary sources to analyse the role of AI in national power. It posits that the influence of AI is inherently disparate across states, contingent upon a complex interplay of political, economic, and contextual factors. Within the military realm, states are channeling substantial resources into the development of advanced weaponry. In economic domain, the impact of AI-induced transformations on wealth accumulation is more significant in the developed nations than in the developing ones. Similarly, the effect of AI on the population of nation and its power can vary based on the unique domestic factors in each country. This study contends that the trajectory of AI advancement engenders multifarious implications for Pakistan's national security landscape.

Keywords: Pakistan, artificial intelligence, national security, social construction, traditional security, environmental security

INTRODUCTION

Power denotes a state's relative capacity to achieve its objectives within the realm of international affairs. This capacity is contingent upon a state's advantageous factors vis-à-vis other states, as expounded by Dahl (1957). Hans Morgenthau identified a constellation of nine pivotal components of national power, encompassing geography, resources, industrial capacity, military readiness, population, national ethos, morale, diplomatic acumen, and governance quality (Clinton et al., 2005). Among these, military power emerges as a pivotal determinant of a state's effective influence on the global stage, juxtaposed with the military prowess of other states. Within the realm of military power, latent potential assumes a notable significance. Essential prerequisites for a robust military capability entail affluence and demographic size, as underscored by Mearsheimer

[1] Research Officer, Center for International Strategic Studies (CISS), Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu & Kashmir. Email: altafzohaib12@gmail.com

[2] Research Officer, Center for International Strategic Studies (CISS), Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu & Kashmir. Email: nimrahjaved42@gmail.com

(2014). The present inquiry delves into the ramifications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on these three underpinning dimensions of power.

The concept of "military power" denotes a state's organized deployment of violence or the credible threat thereof to accomplish its strategic objectives. The discerning factor demarcating success from failure among nations hinges upon the adept utilization of these instrumentalities (Horowitz, 2010). Furthermore, the purview of AI extends to exerting an impact on both economic vitality and demographic dynamics. The potential of AI to impinge upon the constituents of National Power bears profound implications for the edifice of Pakistan's national security.

LITERATURE REVIEW

James Johnson (2021) in his discourse, expounded upon the competitive dynamic between the United States and China in the domain of AI. Subsequently, another exposition by James Johns (2019) delved into the ramifications of AI for warfare and their reverberations on international security. Cummings et al., (2018) posited a profound transformation precipitated by AI in the equilibrium of global power. Nevertheless, an imperative exists to discern how AI engenders distinctive repercussions on the constituents of National Power within disparate states, subsequently reverberating across the prism of Pakistan's National Security.

Hence, a nuanced comprehension of AI's unique ramifications on military, economic, and demographic aspects assume paramount import. Does the impact of AI evince uniformity across nations, or does it variably hinge upon a state's priorities and interests? Furthermore, this inquiry undertakes a comprehensive exploration of AI's implications for Pakistan's national security, encompassing both conventional and unconventional domains. It posits that the influence of AI upon the constituents of national power shall manifest distinctively across diverse states, with a state's efficacy in harnessing AI's advantages contingent upon an array of determinants. Pakistan's limited preparedness to harness the potential of AI also casts aspersions upon its national security paradigm.

The focal point of this inquiry primarily resides in dissecting the potential ramifications of AI on military power, economic potency, and the significance of demographic dynamics as integral facets of national power. The overarching objective is to discern the intricate labyrinth of AI's implications for Pakistan's national security.

Two principal paradigms underpin the analysis of technology. The first paradigm, termed as technological instrumentalism, perceives technology as a tool for addressing human quandaries, while the second, known as technological determinism, posits a technologically neutral standpoint (Kline, 2001). These perspectives, however, neglect the manifold socio-political dynamics inherent to technology usage (Gill, 2020). The present study adopts the theoretical framework of the social construction of technology, thereby encompassing the multifarious dynamics that impinge upon technology within its milieu.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a qualitative approach by utilizing qualitative data to explore and examine the fact, and explain the logic inside to conclude the relation of AI and national power. Moreover, the study utilizes both the primary as well as secondary sources to examine the relationship between

the AI and national power (primarily military, population and economy). This study employed descriptive and explanatory tools to analyze data regarding the impact of AI on the elements of National Power and its impact on Pakistan's National Security.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF TECHNOLOGY

The theory of Social Construction of Technology (SCOT) posits that technology is not solely a product of scientific and engineering prowess but is equally influenced by social and cultural factors (Klein & Kleinman, 2002). SCOT asserts that technologies possess malleable interpretations and can be subject to diverse applications by users, groups, and societies. It contends that technologies are not confined to fixed meanings or applications, rather can be tailored and transformed to cater the distinct exigencies and contexts (Bijker et al., 2012). Moreover, this theory contends that the evolution and utilization of technologies are intricately interwoven with social and cultural determinants, encompassing the values, beliefs, interests, and power dynamics of various entities and individuals. Technologies, in this regard, do not exhibit neutrality but are enmeshed within broader social and political landscapes (Williams & Edge, 1996).

Furthermore, SCOT postulates that technology's creation does not reside solely within the domain of engineers or inventors, but is collaboratively co-constructed through a dynamic process of negotiation and interaction between heterogeneous actors. These actors encompass users, designers, regulatory bodies, and other stakeholders, collectively shaping the contours of technology's design, application, and significance (Williams & Edge, 1996). As espoused by SCOT theorists, technology's acceptance can exert transformative effects upon its trajectory. Users propel technological advancement, exploitation, adaptation, and sculpting. This can induce shifts in priorities pertaining to the manner, rationale, and methodologies of technology's evolution, as well as the criteria for evaluating its success (Leonard-Barton & Kraus, 1985).

Consequently, SCOT underscores the pivotal necessity of scrutinizing the social and political dynamics that engender technological preferences and decisions when novel technologies emerge. The application of SCOT in this study illuminated the notion that comprehending Internet technology devoid of its human context renders it bereft of meaning (Williams, 2019).

SCOT places a pronounced emphasis on reflexivity, signifying an awareness of self and context, in comprehending technology's role within society. This entails recognizing that technology functions not merely as an external force impinging upon society, rather a product intricately woven into the tapestry of social and cultural processes. Central to this framework is the scrutiny of presuppositions and values imprinted within technology, with a concurrent consideration of their ethical and social ramifications (Bijker & Law, 2010).

In summation, the theory of Social Construction of Technology delineates a paradigm that underscores the interplay between technology and its social milieu. It asserts that technology is not a monolithic entity but rather a dynamic construct molded by a confluence of social, cultural, and political forces. The application of SCOT facilitates a nuanced understanding of technology's multifaceted interactions and implications within the fabric of society.

THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF AI'S IMPACT ON THE ELEMENTS OF NATIONAL POWER

AI is widely conceptualized as the manifestation of machine-based intelligence. It emerges as a multifaceted sociocultural and material construct, subject to diverse interpretations and constructions by stakeholders (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Consequently, the utilization of AI as a tool of national power is intricately intertwined with the priorities of pertinent entities and the state. The deployment of AI can yield enhancements to national security while concurrently engendering inherent risks, thereby prompting varied states to harness AI based on their distinct national security imperatives (Hoadley & Lucas, 2018).

The potential impact of AI resonates profoundly across the domains of military might and economic vitality. The ramifications of AI are poised to either fortify or attenuate a nation's military and economic prowess. Of paramount significance is the intricate interplay between AI, human decision-making, and institutional frameworks, constituting a pivotal locus of inquiry within the sphere of AI (Garfinkel & Dafoe, 2019).

The influence of AI and robotics on labor markets within distinct countries, and the consequent effects of automation on employment and wages, invariably hinges upon intricate factors such as economic structure, workforce skills, educational infrastructure, and the policy framework aimed at safeguarding the interests of workers (Smith & Anderson, 2014). Moreover, the uptake of AI is contingent upon variables including data availability and talent pool, while its military utilization is subject to an array of considerations spanning regulatory frameworks and ethical tenets. Besides, the implications of AI for Pakistan's national security are intricately interwoven with the prevailing societal context and existing determinants.

In the economic domain, AI possesses the potential to engender enhanced wealth generation and productivity across diverse sectors encompassing agriculture, healthcare, transportation, and retail. The recruitment, training, and education of individuals adept in the construction and application of algorithms assume pivotal roles in bolstering a nation's AI technological strength (Gehlhaus et. al. 2021).

In assessing AI's economic impact, a comparative framework involves juxtaposing its effects with historical technological milestones, such as steam engines, electricity, and information technology. AI's potential contribution to economic growth is predicated on its labor efficiency, albeit the realization of this potential necessitates considerable time and investment for successful commercialization. Disparities in AI's impact are discernible across nations, with distinct internal dynamics shaping its effects. Consequently, AI could exacerbate global disparities and the digital divide, engendering differential adoption rates necessitating tailored strategies for distinct countries (Korinek et al., 2021; Bughin et al., 2018).

Projections by Desjardins for Business Inside and the World Economic Forum foresee AI's significant addition of $15.7 trillion to global GDP by 2030. Different regions are anticipated to reap varying economic benefits from AI, with North America, Northern Europe, and Latin America projected to contribute $3.7 trillion, $1.8 trillion, and $0.5 trillion, respectively. Developed Asia and other regions are also expected to make substantial contributions (Desjardins, 2019; Bloomberg, 2017).

Moreover, AI's transformative potential extends to specific industries, with an aggregate value projection of $14 trillion by 2035. Industries such as finance, law services, manufacturing, wholesale, and retail are anticipated to experience substantial gains through AI integration, auguring additional revenues of $34.5 trillion. These findings underscore AI's salient role in shaping and bolstering national economies ("Saudi Arabia to invest," 2022).

Military Power

AI holds substantial potential to exert profound influence on military power, both by ushering in novel capabilities and augmenting the efficiency of existing ones. An illustrative manifestation of AI's impact lies in its capacity to bolster Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities through the processing and analysis of voluminous data emanating from diverse sensors and sources (Schermer & Lesnick, 2022). Moreover, AI emerges as a facilitator for military decision-makers, furnishing real-time information and insights that expedite well-informed and prompt decision-making processes (French & Lindsay, 2022).

The domain of military training is equally poised to undergo transformation, with AI's potential harnessed to fabricate realistic training scenarios and simulations that amplify the efficacy of training regimens. On the logistical front, AI holds the promise of optimizing supply chains and logistics, thereby curtailing costs and amplifying efficiency in this crucial facet of military operations (Mohanlal, 2022). Given AI's burgeoning potential, states are strategically allocating substantial investments in AI technology.

Evidencing this trajectory, the US Department of Defense's Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) has allocated resources towards the development of a robotic submarine system with diverse applications ranging from mine detection to anti-submarine warfare. Notably, the fiscal year 2017 witnessed the US Department of Defense disbursing USD 7.4 billion on AI, Big Data, and cloud infrastructure, signifying the burgeoning significance of AI within military contexts (Johnson, 2017).

China, too, has embarked upon a trajectory of intensive AI investments to fortify its military capabilities, positioning itself as a potential frontrunner in defense AI, with forecasts indicating its potential to surpass the United States by 2030. The military AI market is anticipated to burgeon by 14.75 percent from 2017 to 2025, culminating in a substantial valuation of USD 18.82 billion, according to projections by Markets and Markets (Singh & Gulhane, 2018). The integration of AI into decision-making processes is a salient theme that is actively being pursued.

Exemplifying this, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), is also spearheading initiatives to employ AI in decision-making processes. The recent instantiation of the "moment" initiative in 2022 is emblematic of this pursuit. The initiative aims to cultivate algorithms and data-driven systems proficient in rendering split-second judgments under duress. A core impetus behind this endeavor lies in mitigating human biases to safeguard lives (Verma, 2022). Moreover, the incorporation of autonomous weapons systems is anticipated to expedite decision-making processes, augmenting target precision and empowering humans to make strategic determinations. This transformative development unveils hitherto unattainable military decision-making and operational paradigms (Buchanan & Imbrie, 2022).

Impact of AI on Population as an Element of National Power

Population is an essential element of National Power(Beckley, 2018). Population is important in running the economy and maintaining a large army. AI can impact the population's role in the economy and army.

Impact of AI on the Role of Population in the Economy

Throughout history, machines have progressively supplanted human labor across various sectors. Robots, encompassing both software and hardware manifestations, exhibit the capacity to evolve according to the instructions they are provided. In today's economic landscape, the development and deployment of robots, machines, and intelligent systems are increasingly governed by a cost-benefit analysis, with costs consistently diminishing at an accelerated pace. Consequently, tasks readily achievable through computer software or hardware at reduced costs are frequently outsourced (Markoff, 2015).

In 2017, Kai-Fu Lee, a Beijing-based venture investor, made a prognostication that by 2030, AI would have displaced 50percent of human labor. Correspondingly, the World Economic Forum's data indicates that 85 million jobs worldwide have been rendered obsolete due to automation and robotics. Nonetheless, this technological advancement will concurrently yield 97 million new job opportunities, necessitating corresponding education and training (Simon, 2022).

A comprehensive evaluation by McKinsey reveals that nearly half of the tasks for which humans collectively receive around US$15 trillion in salaries within the global economy possess the potential for automation through adaptation of existing proven technologies. This assessment was conducted across 2,000 distinct work responsibilities spanning 800 job categories (Miller, 2018). Manufacturing industries have witnessed the replacement of traditional autoworkers with machines and robots. The inevitable progression suggests that even in supermarket settings, human cashiers will eventually be supplanted by computerized systems, resulting in an unavoidable occurrence of unemployment engendered by extensive automation (Holzer, 2022).

Proponents of a sanguine outlook contend that novel technologies invariably yield productivity gains, invariably leading to economic advantages. However, AI and automation deviate from conventional technologies in that AI, functioning ubiquitously, instigates simultaneous transformations across myriad industries and cognitive and physical tasks numbering in the millions (Fernandez et al., 2021).

While past technologies have exhibited dual roles as job creators and job destroyers, AI stands distinct in its explicit objective to emulate human tasks, consequently precipitating significant job displacement. It is noteworthy that the Industrial Revolution spanned over a century to propagate beyond Europe and the United States, whereas AI's penetration into global labor markets has occurred at an accelerated pace (Lee & Chen, 2021).

Conventional self-correcting mechanisms ingrained in the free market philosophy, as espoused by Adam Smith, may falter within an AI-driven economy. There exists the potential for the emergence of an incipient caste system lacking adequate checks and balances, with a plutocratic elite exercising dominion over the masses. Such a trajectory could result in a division between a minority engaged in intricate tasks and a majority bereft of agency (Lee & Chen, 2021).

Navigating this landscape necessitates a novel moral calculus, given that technological determinations are predominantly grounded in considerations of profitability and efficiency (Markoff, 2015). The implications extend to the democratic ideal itself, wherein the concentration of digital power presents challenges even when corporations harbor benevolent intentions. Regulatory measures and delineations are imperative to safeguard the public interest (Noël, 2019). Consequently, AI has the potential to marginalize the role of the populace within the economy, contingent on discerning political decision-making.

Recent scholarly investigations affirm that technological automation has decisively contributed to the widening income disparity across diverse demographic strata in the United States over the past forty years. This assessment, originating from the National Bureau of Economic Research, posits that approximately 50-70percent of post-1980 shifts in US earnings can be attributed to remuneration reductions among blue-collar workers substituted or diminished by technology (Kelly, 2021).

In light of decelerating GDP growth in several instances, partly attributable to aging populations, many developed nations may be compelled to leverage AI to attain enhanced productivity gains (Zhao, 2018). Moreover, the comparatively elevated wage scales within advanced economies render the substitution of human labor with robots more appealing than in low-wage developing nations (Bughin et al., 2018).

Impact of AI on the Role of Population in the Domain of Military

The development of weapons is intricately intertwined with geopolitical contexts and perceived threats. When a nation perceives a threat from another, it tends to develop weapons system to counteract such perceived threats (Monte, 2018). In recent times, machine learning has revolutionized various administrative functions and tasks, hitherto conducted by human agents. However, it has also brought the potential for automating violent actions. Governments across the globe have invested approximately $11 billion annually in the advancement of such weapon systems, along with related capabilities such as surveillance and reconnaissance drones (Buchanan & Imbrie 2022, 94).

The discourse concerning the potential replacement of soldiers by AI is multifaceted. There exists a counterargument positing that AI's utility may augment the significance of human involvement. This is partly attributed to the reliance of AI on data quality. In the milieu of military conflict, obtaining high-quality data is often challenging. Consequently, the incorporation of data and judgment factors could lead to increased complexity within military organizations (Goldfarb & Lindsay, 2022). The organizational structures and hierarchies of military commands may experience reconfiguration contingent on the entities responsible for constructing and refining data systems, as well as shaping policy decisions. Given the substantial impact of data and judgment on the course of battle, adversaries may attempt to compromise these elements. Manipulation or disruption of data for cloud-based decision-making could be employed to gain an advantage over AI, thereby underscoring the ongoing necessity for human participation (Georgia Institute of Technology, 2022). Moreover, the uncertainty inherent in critical security decision-making could be exacerbated if AI systems fail to correlate novel situations with historical precedents (Allison, 1969).

Furthermore, concerns abound regarding the integration of new technologies to enhance military efficacy. The effective utilization of novel capabilities is contingent upon policymaker discretion. Disregarding established capabilities may engender vulnerabilities that adversaries can exploit. It is imperative to note that AI itself is not an agent of impact; its influence is mediated by the actions and decisions of human actors (Kuo, 2022). Parallel to this, apprehensions arise regarding the development of autonomous weaponry. The debate over the pros and cons of AI-powered autonomous weapons remains ongoing. Advocates contend that automating combat reduces casualties among the deploying nation's forces, while opponents argue that it lowers the threshold for engaging in armed conflict. The international community faces a pivotal juncture in determining whether to enter a global competition focused on AI weaponry (Etzioni & Etzioni, 2017).

The trajectory of such weapon programs hinges on a diverse array of factors and their associated concerns. Moreover, the proliferation of inexpensive drones and their potential threats came into sharp focus when drones posed a danger to the life of Venezuela's president in 2018. The democratization of robot and AI costs has inadvertently led to unanticipated consequences. All the components for constructing drones can be readily procured online, coupled with open-source software packages, accentuating the accessibility of these technologies (Lee, 2021).

Aforementioned technologies are poised to infiltrate underground markets and potentially fall into the hands of terrorist organizations, despots seeking to consolidate their control, and warlords strategizing ethnic cleansing campaigns. The capacities of autonomous weapons align well with activities such as assassinations, destabilization of governments, subjugation of populations, and targeted elimination of specific ethnic groups. Consequently, the race for AI-backed lethal weaponry stands to jeopardize societal well-being (Tegmark 2017, 78). In recognition of these concerns, the Future of Life Institute issued an open letter in 2015, highlighting the inevitability of a global arms race in the AI domain ("Autonomous weapons," 2016). The unchecked progression of this arms race is perceived as a potential existential threat to humanity (Lee, 2021).

Ethical dilemmas have emerged regarding the integration of AI within military weapon systems. Morality is often influenced by the perceptions and values of peers and the larger community. Autonomous weapons represent a divergence from human moral sensibilities. The act of terminating human life involves profound moral deliberation, which machines can only mimic rather than genuinely comprehend. While AI can be trained with algorithms and examples to approximate moral judgments, this remains distinct from independent decision-making. Even adherence to codified rules of warfare necessitates human judgment and consideration of nuanced factors (Wadhwa & Johnson, 2021).

Implications for Pakistan's National Security

National security is not just a matter of protecting physical territory but also encompasses other issues such as human security, environmental security, and economic security(Buzan & Wæver, 2004). AI is not an impartial, collective, or unbiased computational technique that makes directions without human directions. These systems are influenced by the economic, social, political, and cultural worlds of human beings, institutions, and imperatives. When applied in a social context, it amplifies existing structural inequalities. AI systems have been developed to benefit states, institutions, and cooperatives. AI systems are expressions of power of the existing structures

worldwide(Martínez-García, 2022). Therefore, the impact on Pakistan's national security depends on decision-making in Pakistan and existing structures.

AI and Traditional Security

In the realm of military affairs, the integration of AI has given rise to both challenges and opportunities, as expounded by Bullock et al. (2022). Within the context of South Asia, the utilization of AI, machine learning (ML), and autonomous systems to augment the capabilities of precision munitions, ballistic missile defense (BMD), nuclear submarines, unmanned vehicles, airborne warning and control systems, and anti-satellite (ASAT) weaponry is predicted to contribute to an escalation in strategic instability and the risk of crises, as highlighted by Naz (2021). It is pertinent to note that Pakistan's strained relationship with India plays a pivotal role in shaping perceptions of imminent threats, which consequently influences official security considerations and national strategic planning, a notion underscored by Siddiqa-Agha (2001).

While numerous nations are actively pursuing the development of AI-driven weapon systems, the progress of AI technologies within India presents a tangible apprehension to Pakistan's national security. In pursuit of effective governance over military AI applications, India's Ministry of Defense established the Defense AI Council (DAIC) in 2019. In tandem, India is forging public-private partnerships to expedite the deployment of such technologies, concurrently fostering the Artificial Intelligence Task Force to harness AI for bolstering its military advantage, as noted by Sahu (2019). Furthermore, collaborative efforts between the United States and India in the technological domain are gaining momentum. Notably, the recent inception of the Technological Innovation Initiative underscores a commitment to augment cooperation pertaining to emergent AI and quantum technologies (Swanson, 2023), thereby potentially amplifying India's capacity to operationalize AI systems. Consequently, this trajectory may exacerbate the spectrum of national security challenges confronting Pakistan.

AI and Economic Security

Pakistan's agricultural sector holds a pivotal role within the nation's economy, accounting for a substantial share of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at 22.04percent and a significant portion of the workforce, representing 35.9percent of total employment (Jatoi, 2020). With 63.09 of the population residing in rural areas in 2019, agriculture constitutes a fundamental source of livelihood for the majority of rural inhabitants (Jatoi, 2020). The introduction of AI has recently induced a transformative shift within the agricultural landscape. This technological advancement has emerged as a response to the imperatives posed by climate change, burgeoning population figures, labor market challenges, and apprehensions concerning food security. By addressing these concerns, AI innovations have efficaciously curbed wasteful applications of water, pesticides, and herbicides, engendered soil fertility preservation, facilitated optimized labor utilization, and concomitantly elevated agricultural output and quality (Talaviya et al., 2020).

Moreover, the prevailing agricultural practices in Pakistan are substantially reliant upon manual and unskilled labor. Given the prevalence of an ample low-skilled workforce, the economic trajectory of a developing nation is inherently predisposed towards industries that can harness this resource advantage. The advent of robotic technology potentially augments skilled employees while concurrently supplanting unskilled labor, thereby engendering a transformative shift in the trade

dynamics of nascent sectors. The consequential effect of such automation, chiefly on unskilled labor segments, has the potential to exert downward pressure on relative wages and the cost structure of products intrinsically tied to unskilled labor inputs. This perturbation, manifested as a marked decrease in relative prices of primary exports, could conceivably precipitate a contraction in investment and overall GDP. Moreover, the recent global pandemic has further exacerbated issues of inequality and entrenched poverty. While prognostications of this nature tend to bear an element of subjectivity, there is a consensus regarding the notably skewed distribution of societal gains engendered by this paradigm shift (Ramos, 2021). The consideration of workforce availability remains an additional pivotal facet.

In Pakistan, there exists a proliferation of educational institutions offering degrees in AI. However, the extant quality of these programs necessitates augmentation, as Pakistani academic institutions aspire to attain global prominence. This accentuates the challenge confronting the country in terms of effectively designing and implementing AI solutions. Pertinently, the governmental preparedness in this context remains a notable concern, with the current educational focus failing to adequately address AI instruction. This deficiency raises substantial inquiries regarding the prospects of sustainable growth within this domain without a corresponding emphasis on IT education among the youth (Hanif, 2023). The establishment of robust educational infrastructures assumes paramount significance in nurturing an adept AI workforce, thereby underscoring the prospect that AI might not inherently conduce to Pakistan's economic fortification, rather engender challenges through the accentuation of inequality*.*

AI and Environmental Security

AI possesses the potential to exert significant influence on environmental security, yielding both positive and negative ramifications. The salutary aspect lies in AI's capacity to address pivotal environmental challenges encompassing climate change, deforestation, and biodiversity diminution (Francisco, 2023). Conversely, the deployment of AI and its applications could potentially exacerbate environmental degradation and amplify extant ecological predicaments. Primarily, the operation of AI necessitates training data, and the absence of comprehensive datasets may inadvertently result in biased outcomes. Additionally, the development and implementation of AI systems entail substantial energy consumption, thus contributing to the emission of greenhouse gases and exacerbating ecological adversities (Knight, 2022). Notably, Pakistan confronts substantial energy-related predicaments, a factor which imparts a host of challenges to the utilization of AI systems for addressing environmental security concerns (Cheema, 2022).

The global distribution of wealth serves as an indicative marker of the disparate allocation of Earth's resources. The escalation in the number of billionaires from 140 in 1986 to 2,208 in 2018, collectively valued at a minimum of $9.1 trillion, underscores pronounced wealth concentration ("Extreme carbon inequality," 2015). A noteworthy facet is that nearly half of global greenhouse gas emissions emanate from the consumption patterns of the wealthiest 10percent, while a mere 10percent of emissions are attributable to the consumption behaviors of the poorest half of the global populace. The asymmetry is underscored by the reality that the top percentile's carbon footprint is almost 175 times larger than that of the lowest decile ("Extreme carbon inequality," 2015). The accentuated concentration of AI within affluent nations augments this disparity, which

holds ramifications for Pakistan's environmental security as the nation grapples with the challenges of climate change, notwithstanding its comparatively modest share of greenhouse gas emissions.

To catalyze the growth of AI businesses in Pakistan, a multifaceted approach is imperative. Foremost, governmental initiatives should encompass the dissemination of requisite information to ancillary sectors such as the banking industry and academia, with the aim of fostering a comprehensive understanding of Pakistan's AI enterprises. Second, measures must be instituted to ensure that local businesses are equipped to export their services and harness AI for their operations. Concurrently, the establishment of a robust legislative framework for AI regulation is pivotal, potentially facilitated through the establishment of entities such as the Intellectual Property Organization of Pakistan to adjudicate patent applications pertinent to AI (Sayyed, 2023). Notably, Pakistan presently ranks 92nd out of 172 on the Oxford Insight AI Readiness Index 2022, a metric that underscores the urgency of elevating the nation's readiness quotient to optimally leverage the burgeoning global demand for AI ("Government AI Readiness Index," 2022). Furthermore, the design of AI systems outside Pakistan may necessitate contextual tailoring through integration of data sourced from governments with varying norms and values.

CONCLUSION

The advent of AI has catalyzed profound transformations across dimensions of military power, economic dynamics, and population demographics, ushering in a substantial reconfiguration of national prowess, economic vitality, population dynamics, and the strategic significance of national might. However, the impact of AI deployment is markedly divergent across nations, contingent upon a confluence of factors encompassing national preparedness, intrinsic domestic variables such as labor force availability, demographic age distribution, and the broader ramifications of AI integration upon political and economic frameworks.

In the realm of economics, AI's sway is particularly conspicuous in countries such as China, the United States, and other technologically advanced nations, auguring significant increments in their wealth profiles. This wealth accumulation is intricately tied to the efficiency gains, productivity enhancements, and novel economic opportunities that AI-driven advancements engender. Notably, this impact is hinged upon the extent of a country's technological infrastructure, regulatory ecosystem, and innovation capacity.

Within the military domain, the extent to which AI is harnessed rests on ethical considerations that intersect with a nation's capability to navigate the intricate intricacies associated with AI-infused weapon systems. The successful integration of AI in military operations necessitates adeptness in addressing ethical dilemmas, technical complexity, and the strategic implications of AI-enabled warfare. Thus, the use of AI in military applications is invariably contingent upon a nation's proficiency in these domains.

Furthermore, the influence of AI as a constituent of national power, vis-à-vis the populace, is contingent on the internal sociopolitical dynamics of a nation. In cases where populations are declining, the adoption of AI technologies is more readily embraced compared to countries grappling with burgeoning populations. The decision-making calculus in this context is influenced by the potential of AI to mitigate demographic imbalances and cater to the exigencies of a shrinking workforce.

In light of these dynamics, the ramifications of AI upon Pakistan's national security are poised to be substantial. In conventional domains, India's strategic investments in AI confer challenges to Pakistan's traditional military calculus. In unconventional spheres, Pakistan's preparedness to harness AI effectively stands as a determining factor that will influence its capacity to derive benefits from AI integration.

In view of these imperatives, it is incumbent upon Pakistan to augment its investment in AI research, development, and deployment. Collaborative engagements with other nations that possess advanced AI capabilities offer avenues to accelerate Pakistan's AI potential, mitigating vulnerabilities and facilitating a more robust positioning within the contemporary technological landscape. By fostering partnerships and expanding its AI capacities, Pakistan can optimize its national security posture and leverage the transformative potential of AI.

References:

Allison, G. T. (1969). Conceptual models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *American Political Science Review*, *63*(3), 689-718. https://doi.org/10.2307/1954423

Autonomous weapons: An open letter. Future of Life Institute. (2016, Feb. 9). https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLScHRfZnR-9DBFGx3cVK6TSx2tZbz9iSUtXDC2jE-ZXoEwRhyg/viewform?embedded=true&usp=embed_facebook

Beckley, M. (2018). The power of nations: Measuring what matters. *International Security*, *43*(2), 7-44. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00328

Bijker, W. E., & Law, J. (Eds.). (2010). *Shaping technology/building society: Studies on sociotechnical change.* MIT Press.

Bijker, W. E., Hughes, T. P., & Pinch, T. (Eds.). (2012). *The social construction of technological systems: New directions in the sociology and history of technology.* MIT Press.

Bloomberg. (2017). AI will add $15.7 trillion to the global economy. *Bloomberg.*

Buchanan, B., & Imbrie, A. (2022). *The new fire: War, peace, and Democracy in the age of AI*. The MIT Press.

Bughin, J., Seong, J., Manyika, J., Chui, M., & Joshi, R. (2018, Sep. 4). *Modeling the global economic impact of AI.* Mckinsey Global Institue.

Bullock, J. B., Chen, Y.-C., Himmelreich, J., Hudson, V. M., Korinek, A., Young, M. M., & Zhang, B. (Eds.). (2022). *The Oxford handbook of AI governance.* Oxford University Press.

Buzan, B., & Wæver, O. (2004). *Regions and powers: The structure of international security*. Cambridge University Press.

Cheema, S. A. (2022, Aug. 8). Forthcoming energy crisis in Pakistan. *The Express Tribune.*

Clinton, D., Thompson, K., & Morgenthau, H. (2005). *Politics among nations*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Cummings, M. L., Roff, H. M., Cukier, K., Parakilas, J., & Bryce, H. (2018,). *Artificial Intelligence and International Affairs* (Chatham House Report). The Royal Institute of International Affairs, London.

Dahl, R. A. (1957). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, *2*(3), 201-15. https://doi.org/10.1002/bs.3830020303

Desjardins, J. (2019, Feb. 25). Seven charts on the future of automation. *World Economic Forum.* https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/02/the-outlook-for-automation-and-manufacturing-jobs-in-seven-charts/

Etzioni, A., & Etzioni, O. (2017). Pros and cons of autonomous weapons systems. *Military Review*.

Extreme carbon inequality: Why the Paris climate deal must put the poorest, lowest emitting, and most vulnerable people first. (2015, Dec. 2). Koninklijke Brill NV. https://doi.org/10.1163/2210-7975_HRD-9824-2015053

Fernandez, R. S., Hayes, K., & Gayosso, F. (2021). Artificial intelligence and NDE competencies. In N. Meyendorf, N. Ida, R. Singh, & J. Vrana (Eds.), *Handbook of nondestructive evaluation 4.0.* (1–53). Springer.

Francisco, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence for environmental security: National, international, human and ecological perspectives. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *61*, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2022.101250

French, S. E., & Lindsay, L. N. (2022). Artificial intelligence in military decision-making: Avoiding ethical and strategic perils with an Option-Generator Model. In B. Koch, & R. Schoonhoven (Eds.). *Emerging military technologies: Ethical and legal perspectives.* (53-74). Brill Nijhoff.

Garfinkel, B., & Dafoe, A. (2019). How does the offense-defense balance scale? *Journal of Strategic Studies*, *42*(6), 736-63. https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2019.1631810

Gehlhaus, D., Hodge, R., Koslosky, L, Goode, K. Rother, J. (2021, Sep.). *The DOD's hidden artificial intelligence workforce* (Policy Brief). Centre for Security and Emerging Technology, Washington, D. C.

Georgia Institute of Technology. (2022, Jul. 14). The military cannot rely on AI for strategy or judgment, a study suggests. *Science Daily.* https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2022/06/220614184106.htm

Gill, K. S. (2020). Prediction paradigm: The human price of instrumentalism. *AI & Society*, *35*(3), 509-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01035-6

Goldfarb, A., & Lindsay, J. R. (2022). Prediction and judgment: Why artificial intelligence increases the importance of humans in war. *International Security*, *46*(3), 7–50.

Government AI Readiness Index 2022. (2022, Dec. 22). *Oxford Insights.* https://www.oxfordinsights.com/government-ai-readiness-index-2022

Hanif, U. (2023, Mar. 17). Pakistan lags behind in the AI race. *The Express Tribune.*

Hoadley, D. S., & Lucas, N. J. (2018). *Artificial intelligence and national security* (No. R45178) Congressional Research Service.

Holzer, H. J. (2022, Jan. 19). Understanding the impact of automation on workers, jobs, and wages. *Brookings.*

Horowitz, M. (2010). *The diffusion of military power: Causes and consequences for international politics*. Princeton University Press.

Jatoi, F. Z. (2020). Agriculture in Pakistan and its impact on Economic growth. (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 3771914). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3771914

Johnson, D. B. (2017, Dec. 4). Pentagon boosts emerging tech spend. *FCW.* https://fcw.com/digital-government/2017/12/pentagon-boosts-emerging-tech-spend/228398/

Johnson, J. (2021). The end of military-techno Pax Americana? Washington's strategic responses to Chinese AI-enabled military technology. *The Pacific Review*, *34*(3), 351-78.

Kelly, J. (2021, Jun. 8). Artificial intelligence has caused a 50% to 70% decrease in wages—Creating income inequality and threatening millions of jobs. *Forbes.*

Klein, H. K., & Kleinman, D. L. (2002). The social construction of technology: Structural considerations. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, *27*(1), 28-52.

Kline, R. R. (2001). Technological determinism. In N. J. Smelser & P. B. Baltes (Eds.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (15495-98). Pergamon.

Knight, W. (2022, Jan. 21). AI can do great things—if it doesn't burn the planet. *Wird.* https://www.wired.com/story/ai-great-things-burn-planet/

Korinek, A., Schindler, M., & Stiglitz, J. (2021). *Technological progress, artificial intelligence, and inclusive growth* (Working Paper WP/21/166). International Monetary Fund.

Kuo, K. (2022). Dangerous changes: When military innovation harms combat effectiveness. *International Security*, *47*(2), 48-87. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00446

Lee, K. (2021, Sep. 21). AI Weapons Are the Third Revolution in Warfare. *The Atlantic.* https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2021/09/i-weapons-are-third-revolution-warfare/620013/

Lee, K., & Chen, Q. (2021). *AI 2041: Ten visions for our future.* Currency.

Leonard-Barton, D., & Kraus, W. A. (1985, Nov. 1). Implementing new technology. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/1985/11/implementing-new-technology

Markoff, J. (2015). *Machines of loving grace: The quest for common ground between humans and robots*. HarperCollins.

Martínez-García, A. N. (2022). Artificial intelligence for sustainable complex socio-technical-economic ecosystems. *Computation*, *10*(6), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.3390/computation10060095

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2014). *The tragedy of great power politics.* W.W. Norton & Company.

Miller, T. (2018, May 21). Can democracy survive the future of automation? *Forbes.*

Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, *3*(2), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679

Mohanlal, S. (2022, Jun. 9). The role of AI in the logistics sector. *GetSmarter Blog.* https://www.getsmarter.com/blog/career-advice/the-role-of-ai-in-the-logistics-sector/

Naz, S. (2021). *India's military application of artificial intelligence: Implications for Pakistan*. Center for Security, Strategy and Policy Research, the University of Lahore.

Noël, J.-C. (2019, Nov. 12). *What Is Digital Power?* French Institute of International Relations. https://www.ifri.org/en/publications/etudes-de-lifri/what-digital-power

Ramos, G. (2021, Dec. 2). Artificial intelligence must not exacerbate inequality further. *Aljazeera.*

Sahu, A. (2019, Aug.). Artificial intelligence in military operations: Where does India stand? *ORF.* https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/artificial-intelligence-military-operations-where-does-india-stand-54030/

Saudi Arabia to invest $1 trillion in real estate and infrastructure. (2022, Dec. 12). *Fast Company Middle East.* https://fastcompanyme.com/news/saudi-arabia-to-invest-1-trillion-in-real-estate-and-infrastructure/

Sayyed, M. I. (2023, Jan.). *Artificial Intelligence Ecosystem in Pakistan: A report by Atomcamp.* Atomcamp https://www.atomcamp.com/artificial-intelligence-ecosystem-in-pakistan/

Schermer, C. R. B., & Lesnick, C. T. (2022, Apr.). Strengthening intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance employment in the Indo-Pacific Region. *Journal of Indo-Pacific Affairs.* https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/JIPA/Display/Article/3006917/strengthening-intelligence-surveillance-and-reconnaissance-employment-in-the-in/

Siddiqa-Agha, A. (2001). Development of threat perception. In A. Siddiqa-Agha (Ed.), *Pakistan's arms procurement and military buildup, 1979–99: In search of a policy* (13–34). Palgrave Macmillan.

Simon, C. (2022, Jun. 28). Council Post: As AI Advances, Will Human Workers Disappear? *Forbes.*

Singh, T. & Gulhane, A. (2018). *8 key military applications for artificial intelligence. Marketresearch.Com.* https://blog.marketresearch.com/8-key-military-applications-for-artificial-intelligence-in-2018

Smith, A., & Anderson, J. (2014, Aug. 6). AI, robotics, and the future of jobs. *Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech.* https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2014/08/06/future-of-jobs/

Swanson, A. (2023, Jan. 31). US courts India as technology partner to counter China. *The New York Times.*

Talaviya, T., Shah, D., Patel, N., Yagnik, H., & Shah, M. (2020). Implementation of artificial intelligence in agriculture for optimization of irrigation and application of pesticides and herbicides. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, *4*, 58-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.04.002

Tegmark, M. (2017). *Life 3.0: Being human in the age of artificial intelligence.* Alfred A. Knopf.

Verma, P. (2022, Mar. 29). The military wants AI to replace human decision-making in battle. *Washington Post.*

Wadhwa, V., & Johnson, A. (2021, Dec. 5). Robots could eventually replace soldiers in warfare. Is that a good thing? *Washington Post.*

Williams, R. (2019). The social shaping of technology (SST). In T. L. Pittinsky (Ed.), *Science, technology, and society: New perspectives and directions.* (138-62). Cambridge University Press.

Williams, R., & Edge, D. (1996). The social shaping of technology. *Research Policy*, *25*(6), 865-99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333(96)00885-2

Zhao, H. (2018). Assessing the economic impact of artificial intelligence. *International Telecommunication Union*, *1*(1), 1-80.

Date of Publication	May 15, 2023